

# PROBABILITY MEASURES AND MILYUTIN MAPS BETWEEN METRIC SPACES

VESKO VALOV

ABSTRACT. We prove that the functor  $\hat{P}$  of Radon probability measures transforms any open map between completely metrizable spaces into a soft map. This result is applied to establish some properties of Milyutin maps between completely metrizable spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we deal with metrizable spaces and continuous maps. By a (complete) space we mean a (completely) metrizable space, and by a measure a probability Radon measure. Recall that a measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is said to be:

- *probability* if  $\mu(X) = 1$ ;
- *Radon* if  $\mu(B) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset B \text{ and } K \text{ is compact}\}$  for any Borel set  $B \subset X$ ;

The support  $\text{supp } \mu$  of a measure  $\mu$  is the intersection of all closed subsets  $A$  of  $X$  with  $\mu(A) = \mu(X)$ . It is well known that the support of any measure is non-empty and separable.

Everywhere below  $\hat{P}(X)$  stands for the space of all probability Radon measures on  $X$  equipped with the weak topology with respect to  $C^*(X)$ . Here,  $C^*(X)$  is the space of bounded continuous functions on  $X$  with the uniform convergence topology. According to [2],  $\hat{P}$  is a functor in the category of metrizable spaces and continuous maps. In particular, for any map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  there exists a map  $\hat{P}(f): \hat{P}(X) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Y)$ . A systematic study of the functor  $\hat{P}$  can be found in [2] and [3]. We also consider the subspace  $P_\beta(X) \subset \hat{P}(X)$  consisting of all measures  $\mu$  such that  $\text{supp } \mu$  is compact.

This paper is devoted to some properties of Milyutin maps between metrizable spaces. We say that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a *Milyutin map* if there

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C60; 60B05; Secondary 54C65, 54C10.

*Key words and phrases*. Probability measures, support of measures, Milyutin maps, metric spaces.

The author was partially supported by NSERC Grant 261914-08.

exists a map  $g: Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  such that  $\text{supp } g(y) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Such  $g$  is called a choice map associated with  $f$ . According to [3, Theorem 3.15], for any metrizable  $X$  there exists a barycentric map  $b_{\hat{P}(X)}: \hat{P}(\hat{P}(X)) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  such that  $b_{\hat{P}(X)}(\nu) = \nu$  for all  $\nu \in \hat{P}(X)$ . Hence, if  $g$  is a choice map associated with  $f$ , then the map  $b_{\hat{P}(X)} \circ \hat{P}(g): \hat{P}(Y) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  is a right inverse of  $\hat{P}(f)$ . Consequently,  $f$  is a Milyutin map if and only if  $\hat{P}(f)$  admits a right inverse.

Our first principal result concerns the question when  $\hat{P}(f)$  is a soft map. Recall that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is soft if for any space  $Z$  and its closed subset  $A$  and any maps  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ ,  $h: A \rightarrow X$  with  $(f \circ h)|_A = g$  there exists a map  $\bar{g}: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $\bar{g}$  extends  $h$  and  $f \circ \bar{g} = g$ . It is easily seen that every soft map is surjective and open.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective open map between complete spaces. Then  $\hat{P}(f): \hat{P}(X) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Y)$  is a soft map.*

The particular cases of Theorem 1.1 when both  $X$  and  $Y$  are either compact or separable were established in [8] and [4], respectively.

Since any soft map admits a right inverse, a map  $f$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 is a Milyutin map. We apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain some results about atomless and exact Milyutin maps introduced in [14]. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a Milyutin map and there exists a choice map  $g$  such that  $\text{supp } g(y) = f^{-1}(y)$  (resp.,  $g(y)$  is an atomless measure on  $f^{-1}(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ , i.e.  $g(y)(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ ), then  $f$  is said to be an *exact* (resp., *atomless*) Milyutin map. It was established in [14] that, in the realm of Polish spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $f$  is exact Milyutin if and only if it is open. The classes of atomless exact Milyutin maps and atomless Milyutin maps between Polish spaces were characterized in [1, Theorem 1.6]. The first class consists of all open maps possessing perfect fibers (i.e., without isolated points) [1, Theorem 1.6], and the second one of all maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that for some Polish space  $X_0 \subset X$  the restriction  $f_0 = f|_{X_0}: X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is an open surjection whose fibers are perfect [1, Theorem 1.7].

Next theorem is a non-separable analogue of [1, Theorem 1.7].

**Theorem 1.2.** *A continuous surjection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of complete spaces is an atomless Milyutin map if and only if there exists a complete subspace  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $f_0 = f|_{X_0}: X_0 \rightarrow Y$  is an open surjection and all fibers of  $f_0$  are perfect sets. Moreover, for any such  $f$  there exists a map  $f^*: P_\beta(Y) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  such that any  $f^*(\mu)$  is atomless and  $\hat{P}(f)(f^*(\mu)) = \mu$ ,  $\mu \in P_\beta(Y)$ .*

We do not know whether under the hypotheses in Theorem 1.2 there exists a map  $f^*: \hat{P}(Y) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  such that each  $f^*(\mu)$  is atomless and  $\hat{P}(f)(f^*(\mu)) = \mu$ ,  $\mu \in \hat{P}(Y)$ . But if we are interested in atomless maps defined on  $Y$ , we have the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Every open surjection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with perfect fibers is a densely atomless Milyutin map provided  $X$  and  $Y$  are complete spaces.*

Here, a Milyutin map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *densely atomless* if

$$\{g \in Ch_f(Y, X) : g(y) \text{ is atomless for all } y \in Y\}$$

is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in the space  $Ch_f(Y, X)$  of all choice maps associated with  $f$  equipped with the source limitation topology. A few words about this topology. If  $(X, d)$  is a bounded (complete) metric space, then there exists a (complete) metric  $\hat{d}$  on  $\hat{P}(X)$  generating its topology and extending  $d$ , see [3]. Then  $Ch_f(Y, X)$  is a subspace of the function space  $C(Y, \hat{P}(X))$  with the source limitation topology whose local base at a given  $h \in C(Y, \hat{P}(X))$  consists of all sets

$$B_{\hat{d}}(h, \alpha) = \{g \in C(Y, \hat{P}(X)) : \hat{d}(g(y), h(y)) < \alpha(y) \text{ for all } y \in Y\},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a continuous map from  $Y$  into  $(0, \infty)$ . It is well known that this topology does not depend on the metric  $\hat{d}$  and it has the Baire property in case  $\hat{P}(X)$  is complete. Similarly,  $f$  is said to be *densely exact* provided the set

$$\{g \in Ch_f(Y, X) : \text{supp } g(y) = f^{-1}(y) \text{ for every } y \in Y\}$$

is a dense and  $G_\delta$ -set in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$ . When  $f$  is both densely atomless and densely exact, it is called *densely exact atomless*.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an open surjection of complete spaces and  $\pi: X \rightarrow M$  a map into a separable space  $M$ . Then all choice maps  $h \in Ch_f(Y, X)$  such that  $\pi(\text{supp } h(y))$  is dense in  $\pi(f^{-1}(y))$  for every  $y \in Y$  form a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$ .*

It is interesting whether in Theorem 1.4 one can substitute the phrase " $\pi(\text{supp } h(y))$  is dense in  $\pi(f^{-1}(y))$ " by " $\pi(\text{supp } h(y)) = \pi(f^{-1}(y))$ ".

Next corollary is a parametrization of the Parthasarathy [12] result that perfect Polish spaces admit atomless measures. It also provides a partial answer of the question [1] whether any open surjection  $f$  of complete spaces is an exact atomless Milyutin map provided all fibers of  $f$  are perfect Polish spaces.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an open and closed surjection of complete spaces such that all fibers of  $f$  are separable (and perfect). Then  $f$  is densely exact (atomless) Milyutin map.*

Finally, we generalize [14, Corollary 1.4] and [1, Corollary 1.9] as follows (below a continuous set-valued map means a map which is both lower and upper semi-continuous):

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complete spaces and  $\Phi: Y \rightarrow X$  a continuous set-valued map such that all values  $\Phi(y)$  are closed separable subsets of  $X$ . Then there exists a map  $h: Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  such that  $\text{supp } h(y) = \Phi(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . If, in addition, all  $\Phi(y)$  are perfect sets, the map  $h$  can be chosen so that every  $h(y)$  is atomless.*

**Acknowledgements:** The author wishes to thank the referee for his/her valuable remarks and suggestions which significantly improved the paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we provide some preliminary results and establish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Probability Radon measures on a complete space  $X$  can be described as positive linear functionals  $\mu$  on  $C^*(X)$  such that  $\|\mu\| = 1$  and  $\lim \mu(h_\alpha) = 0$  for any decreasing net  $\{h_\alpha\} \subset C^*(X)$  which pointwisely converges to 0, see [15]. Under this interpretation,  $\text{supp } \mu$  coincides with the set of all  $x \in X$  such that for every neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  in  $X$  there exists  $\varphi \in C^*(X)$  such that  $\varphi(X \setminus U_x) = 0$  and  $\mu(\varphi) \neq 0$ . This representation of  $\text{supp } \mu$  easily implies that the set-valued map  $\text{supp}: \hat{P}(X) \rightarrow X$  (assigning to each  $\mu$  its support) is lower semi-continuous, i.e.,  $\{\mu \in \hat{P}(X) : \text{supp } \mu \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $\hat{P}(X)$  for any open  $U \subset X$ . For every closed  $F \subset X$ , we have  $\mu(F) = \inf\{\mu(\varphi) : \varphi \in C(F)\}$  (see for example [7] in case  $X$  is compact), where  $C(F) = \{\varphi \in C^*(X) : 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1 \text{ and } \varphi(F) = 1\}$ .

According to [4], any compatible (complete) metric  $d$  on  $X$  generates a compatible (complete) metric  $\hat{d}$  on  $\hat{P}(X)$  such that

$$\hat{d}(t\mu + (1-t)\mu', t\nu + (1-t)\nu') \leq t\hat{d}(\mu, \mu') + (1-t)\hat{d}(\nu, \nu')$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $\mu, \mu', \nu, \nu' \in \hat{P}(X)$ . It is easily seen that every ball (open or closed) with respect to  $\hat{d}$  is convex.

Let  $A_\varepsilon(X)$  denote the set of all  $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$  such that  $\mu(\{x\}) \geq \varepsilon$  for some  $x \in \text{supp } \mu$ . For any closed  $K \subset X$  there exists a closed embedding  $i: \hat{P}(K) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  defined by  $i(\nu)(h) = \nu(h|K)$  for all  $\nu \in \hat{P}(K)$ .

$\hat{P}(K)$  and  $h \in C^*(X)$ . Everywhere below we identify  $\hat{P}(K)$  with the set  $i(\hat{P}(K)) = \{\mu \in \hat{P}(X) : \text{supp } \mu \subset K\}$  which is closed in  $\hat{P}(X)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a complete space,  $K$  a perfect closed subset of  $X$  and  $G$  a convex open subset of  $\hat{P}(K)$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have:*

- (1)  $A_\varepsilon(X)$  is a closed subset of  $\hat{P}(X)$ ;
- (2)  $A_\varepsilon(X) \cap \overline{G}$  is a nowhere dense set in the closure  $\overline{G}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\hat{P}(X)$  is metrizable, it suffices to check that  $\mu_0 = \lim \mu_n \in A_\varepsilon(X)$  for every convergent sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\hat{P}(X)$  with  $\{\mu_n\} \subset A_\varepsilon(X)$ . To this end, let  $H$  be the closure in  $X$  of the set  $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{supp } \mu_n$ . Because every  $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$  has a separable support,  $H$  is a Polish subset of  $X$ . Considering all  $\mu_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , as elements of  $\hat{P}(H)$ , we have that the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is contained in  $A_\varepsilon(H)$  and converges to  $\mu_0$ . Therefore, by [12, Theorem 8.1],  $\mu_0 \in A_\varepsilon(H)$ . Consequently, there exists  $x_0 \in H$  with  $\mu_0(\{x_0\}) \geq \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $A_\varepsilon(X)$  is closed in  $\hat{P}(X)$ .

(2) Since  $A_\varepsilon(K) = A_\varepsilon(X) \cap \hat{P}(K)$ , it suffices to show that  $A_\varepsilon(K)$  is nowhere dense in  $\hat{P}(K)$ . Suppose  $A_\varepsilon(K)$  contains an open subset  $W$  of  $\hat{P}(K)$  and let  $P_\omega(K)$  be the set of all  $\mu \in \hat{P}(K)$  having a finite support. Since  $P_\omega(K)$  is dense in  $\hat{P}(K)$ , there exists  $\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} \in P_\omega(K) \cap W$ . Here,  $\delta_{x_i}$  denotes Dirac's measures at  $x_i$  and  $\lambda_i = \mu_0(\{x_i\})$ . Moreover,  $\lambda_i \geq \varepsilon$  for at least one  $i$ . For each  $i \leq k$  and  $n \geq 1$  choose a neighborhood  $V_i \subset K$  of  $x_i$  and  $n$  different points  $x_{i(1)}, \dots, x_{i(n)} \in V_i$  such that the family  $\{V_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is disjoint and  $\text{dist}(x_i, x_{i(j)}) \leq 1/n$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . This can be done because  $K$  is perfect, so every neighborhood of  $x_i$  contains infinitely many points. Consider now the

measures  $\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i \delta_{x_{i(j)}}}{n}$ . Since  $\lim \mu_n = \mu_0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such

that  $\mu_n \in W$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Consequently, for every  $n \geq n_0$  there exists  $i \leq k$  with  $\lambda_i/n \geq \varepsilon$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an open surjection between complete spaces such that  $\dim Y = 0$ . Then  $\hat{P}(f): \hat{P}(X) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Y)$  is a soft map.*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 1.3 from [4], it suffices to show that  $f$  is everywhere locally invertible. The last notion is defined as follows: for any space  $Z$ , a point  $a \in Z$ , a map  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$  and an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $g(a) \in f(U)$  there exist a neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  in  $Z$  and a map  $h: V \rightarrow U$  such that  $f \circ h = g|_V$ . Obviously,  $f$  is everywhere locally invertible provided it satisfies the following condition:

- (\*) For any open  $U \subset X$  and  $a \in f(U)$  there exists a map  $g: V \rightarrow U$  with  $V$  being a neighborhood of  $a$  in  $Y$  such that  $f(g(y)) = y$  for all  $y \in V$ .

To show  $f$  satisfies (\*), fix an open set  $U \subset X$  and  $a \in f(U)$ . Since  $f$  is open, the set  $V = f(U) \subset Y$  is also open and the set-valued map  $\Phi: V \rightarrow U$ ,  $\Phi(y) = f^{-1}(y) \cap U$ , is lower semi-continuous with closed values. Moreover,  $U$  admits a complete metric because  $X$  is complete. Then, by the 0-dimensional selection theorem of Michael [11],  $\Phi$  has a continuous selection  $g$ . Obviously,  $g$  is as required.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** First, let us show that  $\hat{f} = \hat{P}(f)|\hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y)$  is everywhere locally invertible. It suffices to show that  $\hat{f}$  satisfies condition (\*) from Lemma 2.2. Suppose that  $U \subset \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y)$  is open and  $y_0 \in \hat{f}(U)$ . We need to find a map  $\alpha: V \rightarrow U$ , where  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $y_0$  in  $Y$ , such that  $\hat{f}(\alpha(y)) = y$  for every  $y \in V$ . To this end, choose a 0-dimensional complete space  $Z$  and a perfect Milyutin map  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ , see [6] (recall that a map is perfect if it is closed and has compact fibers). Next, consider the pull-back  $T = \{(z, x) \in Z \times X : g(z) = f(x)\}$  of  $Z$  and  $X$  with respect to the maps  $g$  and  $f$ , and let  $p_f: T \rightarrow Z$ ,  $p_g: T \rightarrow X$  be the corresponding projections. Since  $f$  is open, so is  $p_f$ . For any  $y \in Y$  we have  $p_f^{-1}(g^{-1}(y)) = p_g^{-1}(f^{-1}(y)) = g^{-1}(y) \times f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $g$  is Milyutin, there exists a map  $g^*: Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(Z)$  such that  $\text{supp } g^*(y) \subset g^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Let  $\hat{p}_f = \hat{P}(p_f): \hat{P}(T) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Z)$  and  $\hat{p}_g = \hat{P}(p_g): \hat{P}(T) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$ . Take an open set  $G \subset \hat{P}(X)$  with  $G \cap \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y) = U$  and let  $W = \hat{p}_g^{-1}(G)$ . Pick  $\mu^* \in G \cap \hat{P}(f^{-1}(y_0))$  and let  $\nu_0 = \mu_0 \times \mu^*$  be the product measure, where  $\mu_0 = g^*(y_0)$ . Obviously,  $\nu_0 \in \hat{P}(g^{-1}(y_0) \times f^{-1}(y_0)) \subset \hat{P}(T)$ . Moreover,  $\hat{p}_f(\nu_0) = \mu_0$  and  $\nu_0 \in W$  because  $\hat{p}_g(\nu_0) = \mu^* \in G$ .

Now we can complete the proof that  $\hat{f}$  is everywhere locally invertible. Let  $g_0: \{y_0\} \rightarrow \hat{P}(T)$  be the constant map  $g_0(y_0) = \nu_0$ . Since  $\hat{p}_f(\nu_0) = g^*(y_0)$  and, by Lemma 2.2, the map  $\hat{p}_f$  is soft, there exists a map  $\theta: Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(T)$  extending  $g_0$  such that  $\hat{p}_f \circ \theta = g^*$ . Obviously,  $V = \theta^{-1}(W)$  is a neighborhood of  $y_0$ , and define  $\alpha = \hat{p}_g \circ \theta$ . Since for any  $y \in V$  we have  $\hat{p}_f(\theta(y)) = g^*(y)$ ,  $p_f(\text{supp } \theta(y)) = \text{supp } g^*(y) \subset g^{-1}(y)$  and  $\text{supp } \theta(y) \subset g^{-1}(y) \times f^{-1}(y)$ . So,  $\text{supp } \alpha(y) = p_g(\text{supp } \theta(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$ . Consequently,  $\hat{f}(\alpha(y)) = y$ . Moreover,  $\alpha(y) \in U$  for all  $y \in V$ .

Since  $\hat{f}$  is everywhere locally invertible, by [4, Theorem 1.3], the map  $\hat{P}(\hat{f}): \hat{P}(\hat{Y}) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Y)$  is soft, where  $\hat{Y} = \hat{f}^{-1}(Y)$ . Moreover,  $\hat{P}(X) \subset \hat{P}(\hat{Y}) \subset \hat{P}(\hat{P}(X))$  because  $X \subset \hat{Y} \subset \hat{P}(X)$ . Therefore the

following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \hat{P}(\hat{Y}) & \xrightarrow{b_{\hat{P}}} & \hat{P}(X) \\
 \hat{P}(\hat{f}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{P}(f) \\
 \hat{P}(Y) & \xrightarrow{i_{\hat{P}(Y)}} & \hat{P}(Y)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here,  $b_{\hat{P}}$  denotes the restriction  $b_{\hat{P}(X)}|_{\hat{P}(\hat{Y})}$  of the barycentric map  $b_{\hat{P}(X)}: \hat{P}(\hat{P}(X)) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$ , see [3], and  $i_{\hat{P}(Y)}$  is the identity on  $\hat{P}(Y)$ . Since  $b_{\hat{P}}$  retracts each  $\hat{P}(\hat{f})^{-1}(\mu)$  onto  $\hat{P}(f)^{-1}(\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \hat{P}(Y)$ , and  $\hat{P}(\hat{f})$  is soft, we finally obtain that  $\hat{P}(f)$  is also soft. The proof is completed.

### 3. ATOMLESS MILYUTIN MAPS

In this section we provide the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective atomless Milyutin map with  $X$  and  $Y$  complete spaces. Then there exists a choice map  $h: Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  associated with  $f$  such that  $h(y)$  is an atomless measure for all  $y \in Y$ . Let  $X_0 = \bigcup \{\text{supp } h(y) : y \in Y\}$  and  $f_0 = f|_{X_0}$ . Since  $f_0^{-1} = \text{supp} \circ h$  is lower semi-continuous,  $f_0$  is open. Hence, by [1, Theorem 3.6],  $X_0$  is complete. Moreover, all  $f_0^{-1}(y)$  are perfect sets because  $h(y)$  are atomless measures.

For the other implication, assume that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjection between complete spaces and there exists a complete subspace  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $f_0 = f|_{X_0}$  is an open surjection possessing perfect fibers. Considering  $X_0$  and  $f_0|_{X_0}$ , we may suppose that  $f$  is open and all of its fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are perfect sets. Then, by Theorem 1.1,  $f$  is Milyutin because  $\hat{P}(f)$  has a right inverse as a soft map. To show  $f$  is atomless, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 take a 0-dimensional complete space  $Z$  and a perfect Milyutin map  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $g$  is Milyutin, there exists a map  $g^*: \hat{P}(Y) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Z)$  such that  $\hat{P}(g)(g^*(\mu)) = \mu$  for all  $\mu \in \hat{P}(Y)$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $\hat{P}(f)$  is open (as a soft map). Hence,  $\hat{f}: \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$  is also open (as a restriction of an open map onto a preimage-set). So, the set-valued map  $\Phi: Z \rightarrow \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y)$ ,  $\Phi(z) = \hat{f}^{-1}(g(z))$ , is lower semi-continuous. Actually,  $\Phi(z) = \hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z)))$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Let  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be the set of all  $\mu \in \hat{P}(X)$  such that  $\mu(\{x\}) \geq 1/n$  for some point  $x \in \text{supp } \mu$ . Since the fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$  are perfect sets, by Lemma 2.1,  $A_n$  are closed in  $\hat{P}(X)$  and all intersections  $A_n \cap \hat{P}(f^{-1}(y))$  are nowhere dense in  $\hat{P}(f^{-1}(y))$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Then, by [9, Theorem 1.2],  $\Phi$  admits a selection  $\theta: Z \rightarrow \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y)$  such that

$\theta(z) \in \Phi(z) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $z \in Z$ . This means that each measure  $\theta(z) \in \hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z)))$  is atomless. The selection  $\theta$  generates a regular operator  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Z)$ ,  $u(\phi)(z) = \theta(z)(\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in C^*(X)$  and  $z \in Z$ . Finally, for every  $\mu \in P_{\beta}(Y)$  let  $f^*(\mu) \in \hat{P}(X)$  be the measure defined by  $f^*(\mu)(\phi) = g^*(\mu)(u(\phi))$ ,  $\phi \in C^*(X)$ . It is easily seen that this definition is correct (i.e.,  $f^*(\mu) \in \hat{P}(X)$ ) and  $f^*: P_{\beta}(Y) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  is a continuous map.

Let us show that  $\hat{P}(f)(f^*(\mu)) = \mu$  for every  $\mu \in P_{\beta}(Y)$ . It suffices to prove that  $f^*(\mu)(\alpha \circ f) = \mu(\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \in C^*(Y)$ . And this is really true because  $\phi = \alpha \circ f$  is the constant  $\alpha(y)$  on each set  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ . So,  $u(\phi)(z) = \theta(z)(\phi) = \alpha(y)$  for any  $z \in g^{-1}(y)$ . Thus,  $u(\phi) = \alpha \circ g$  and  $f^*(\mu)(\alpha \circ f) = g^*(\mu)(\alpha \circ g)$ . Finally, since  $\hat{P}(g)(g^*(\mu)) = \mu$ , we have  $g^*(\mu)(\alpha \circ g) = \mu(\alpha)$ .

So, it remains to prove only that every  $f^*(\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in P_{\beta}(Y)$ , is an atomless measure. To this end, fix  $\mu_0 \in P_{\beta}(Y)$ ,  $x_0 \in \text{supp } f^*(\mu_0)$  and  $\eta > 0$ . It suffices to find a function  $\phi_0 \in C^*(X)$  with  $0 \leq \phi_0 \leq 1$  such that  $\phi_0(x_0) = 1$  and  $f^*(\mu_0)(\phi_0) \leq \eta$ . Since  $\theta(z)(\{x_0\}) = 0$ , for every  $z \in Z$  there exists  $\phi_z \in C^*(X)$  and a neighborhood  $U_z$  of  $z$  in  $Z$  such that  $0 \leq \phi_z \leq 1$ ,  $\phi_z(x_0) = 1$  and  $\theta(z')(\phi_z) < \eta$  whenever  $z' \in U_z$ . Using the compactness of  $g^{-1}(\text{supp } \mu_0)$  (recall that  $\mu_0$  has a compact support and  $g$  is a perfect map), we find neighborhoods  $U_{z(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , covering  $g^{-1}(\text{supp } \mu_0)$ , and let  $\phi_0 = \phi_{z(1)} \cdot \phi_{z(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \phi_{z(k)}$ . Then  $\phi_0$  is as required. Indeed, since  $\hat{P}(g)(g^*(\mu_0)) = \mu_0$ ,  $g^{-1}(\text{supp } \mu_0)$  contains the support of  $g^*(\mu_0)$ . Consequently,  $g^*(\mu_0)(u(\phi_0)) \leq \max\{u(\phi_0)(z) : z \in g^{-1}(\text{supp } \mu_0)\}$ . So, there exists  $z_0 \in g^{-1}(\text{supp } \mu_0)$  such that  $g^*(\mu_0)(u(\phi_0)) \leq u(\phi_0)(z_0)$ . Next, choose  $j$  with  $z_0 \in U_{z(j)}$  and observe that  $\phi_0 \leq \phi_j$  implies  $u(\phi_0)(z_0) \leq u(\phi_j)(z_0) = \theta(z_0)(\phi_j)$ . Therefore,  $f^*(\mu_0)(\phi_0) \leq \theta(z_0)(\phi_j) < \eta$  because  $z_0 \in U_{z(j)}$ . The proof is completed.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Take a 0-dimensional complete space  $Z$ , a perfect Milyutin map  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$  and a map  $g^*: \hat{P}(Y) \rightarrow \hat{P}(Z)$  which is a right inverse of  $\hat{P}(g)$ . We equip  $\hat{P}(X)$  with a convex metric  $\hat{d}$ , and let  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be the closed subsets of  $\hat{P}(X)$  considered in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We need to show that the set  $\mathcal{A}$  of all atomless choice maps form a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $Ch_f(Y, X)$ . Since each  $A_n$  is closed in  $\hat{P}(X)$ , it is easily seen that the sets

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \{h \in Ch_f(Y, X) : h(y) \notin A_n \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$$

are open in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{U}_n$ . To prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$ , fix  $h \in Ch_f(Y, X)$  and a function  $\eta: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ . We



are going to find a map  $h' \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\hat{d}(h(y), h'(y)) \leq \eta(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Denote by  $B(h(g(z)), \eta(g(z)))$  the open ball in  $\hat{P}(X)$  (with respect to  $\hat{d}$ ) which is centered at  $h(g(z))$  and has a radius  $\eta(g(z))$ . Define the set-valued map  $\Phi: Z \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$ ,  $\Phi(z) = \overline{\hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z))) \cap B(h(g(z)), \eta(g(z)))}$ . This is a convex and closed-valued map because any ball in  $\hat{P}(X)$  with respect to  $\hat{d}$  is convex. Since  $\hat{f} = \hat{P}(f)|(\hat{P}(f)^{-1}(Y))$  is open (as a soft map, see Theorem 1.1), the set-valued map  $z \mapsto \hat{P}(f)^{-1}(g(z))$  is lower semi-continuous. Hence, by [10, Proposition 2.5], so is  $\Phi$ . Moreover, each  $\Phi(z)$  is the closure of the convex open set  $\hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z))) \cap B(h(g(z)), \eta(g(z)))$  in  $\hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z)))$ . Hence, according to Lemma 2.1,  $A_n \cap \Phi(z)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are nowhere dense sets in  $\Phi(z)$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Then, by [9, Theorem 1.2],  $\Phi$  has a continuous selection  $\theta: Z \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  avoiding the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , i.e., with  $\theta(z) \in \Phi(z) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Following the notations from the proof of Theorem 1.2, we extend  $\theta$  to a map  $\bar{\theta}: P_{\beta}(Z) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  by  $\bar{\theta}(\nu)(\phi) = \nu(u(\phi))$ ,  $\phi \in C^*(X)$ . Now let  $h': Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  be the composition  $\bar{\theta} \circ g^*$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2 that  $h'(y)$  is atomless and  $h'(y) \in \hat{P}(f^{-1}(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . So,  $h' \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It remains to show that  $\hat{d}(h(y), h'(y)) \leq \eta(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ . To this end, we fix  $y \in Y$  and take a sequence  $\{\nu_n\} \subset P_{\beta}(g^{-1}(y))$  converging to  $g^*(y)$  such that each  $\nu_n$  has a finite support. It is easily seen that if  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} t_i \delta_{z(i)} \in P_{\beta}(g^{-1}(y))$  is a measure with a finite support, then  $\bar{\theta}(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} t_i \theta(z(i))$ . Since  $\hat{d}(\theta(z(i)), h(y)) \leq \eta(y)$  for all  $i$  and the metric  $\hat{d}$  is convex, we have  $\hat{d}(\bar{\theta}(\nu), h(y)) \leq \eta(y)$ . In particular,  $\hat{d}(\bar{\theta}(\nu_n), h(y)) \leq \eta(y)$  for every  $n$ . This implies that  $\hat{d}(h'(y), h(y)) \leq \eta(y)$  because  $h'(y)$  is the limit of the sequence  $\{\bar{\theta}(\nu_n)\}$ .

#### 4. EXACT MILYUTIN MAPS

In this section the proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Corollaries 1.5-1.6 are established.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $U \subset X$  be a non-empty open set in a space  $X$ . Then the set  $\hat{U} = \{\nu \in \hat{P}(X) : \text{supp } \nu \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is open convex and dense in  $\hat{P}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the support map  $\nu \rightarrow \text{supp } \nu$  is a lower semi-continuous map,  $\hat{U} \subset \hat{P}(X)$  is open. To show it is dense, suppose there exists an open set  $W = \{\nu \in \hat{P}(X) : |\nu(\phi_i) - \nu_0(\phi_i)| < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  in  $\hat{P}(X)$  with  $W \subset \hat{P}(X) \setminus \hat{U}$ , where  $\phi_i \in C^*(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We can

suppose that  $\nu_0$  has a finite support (recall that the measures with a finite support form a dense set in  $\hat{P}(X)$ ). Let  $\nu_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{j=m} \lambda_j \delta_{x(j)}$  such that  $\lambda_j > 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{j=m} \lambda_j = 1$ . Then  $\text{supp } \nu_0 = \{x(j) : 1 \leq j \leq m\} \subset X \setminus U$ . Now, let  $\nu' = \lambda_0 \delta_{x(0)} + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_0) \delta_{x(1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{j=m} \lambda_j \delta_{x(j)}$ , where  $x_0 \in U$  and  $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1$  such that  $\lambda_0 |\phi_i(x_0) - \phi_i(x_1)| < \epsilon$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . The choice of  $\lambda_0$  yields that  $\nu' \in W$ . Consequently,  $\nu' \notin \hat{U}$  and  $\text{supp } \nu' \subset X \setminus U$ . This contradicts  $x_0 \in U \cap \text{supp } \nu'$ .

To show  $\hat{U}$  is convex, it suffices to prove that  $\text{supp } (t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2) = \text{supp } \nu_1 \cup \text{supp } \nu_2$  for any  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \hat{P}(X)$  and any  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Obviously,  $\text{supp } \nu_1 \cup \text{supp } \nu_2 \supset \text{supp } (t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2)$ . Assume  $x \in \text{supp } \nu_1$ . Then for every neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  there exists a function  $\phi_x \in C^*(X)$  with  $\phi_x(X \setminus V_x) = 0$  and  $\nu_1(\phi_x) \neq 0$ . Since  $\nu_1(\phi_x) = \nu_1(\phi_x^+) - \nu_1(\phi_x^-)$ , where  $\phi_x^+$  and  $\phi_x^-$  are the positive and negative parts of  $\phi_x$ , we can suppose  $\phi_x$  is non-negative. Then,  $\nu(\phi_x) \geq \nu_1(\phi_x) > 0$  with  $\nu = \nu = t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2$ . Hence,  $x \in \text{supp } \nu$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Choose a countable base  $\{V_n : n \geq 1\}$  for the topology of  $M$ , and let  $B_n = \{\nu \in \hat{P}(X) : \text{supp } \nu \cap \pi^{-1}(V_n) = \emptyset\}$ . By Lemma 4.1, each  $B_n$  is closed in  $\hat{P}(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of all maps  $h \in Ch_f(Y, X)$  such that  $\pi(\text{supp } h(y))$  is dense in  $\pi(f^{-1}(y))$  for any  $y \in Y$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{h \in Ch_f(Y, X) : h(y) \notin B_n \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$ . It suffices to show that each  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is open and dense in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.

*Claim 1. Each  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is open in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$ .*

We can suppose that each  $V_n$  is of the form  $V_n = g_n^{-1}(0, \infty)$  for some non-negative function  $g_n \in C^*(M)$ . Then  $\nu \in B_n$  if and only if  $\nu(g_n \circ \pi) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Obviously the equality  $D_n(\mu, \mu') = \hat{d}(\mu, \mu') + |\mu(g_n \circ \pi) - \mu'(g_n \circ \pi)|$ , where  $\mu, \mu' \in \hat{P}(X)$  and  $\hat{d}$  is a compatible metric on  $\hat{P}(X)$ , defines a compatible metric on  $\hat{P}(X)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Given  $h \in \mathcal{G}_n$  we consider the continuous function  $\alpha : Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha(y) = h(y)(g_n \circ \pi)/2$ . We have  $B_{D_n}(h, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ . Indeed, if  $h' \in B_{D_n}(h, \alpha)$ , then  $|h'(y)(g_n \circ \pi) - h(y)(g_n \circ \pi)| \leq D_n(h(y), h'(y)) < \alpha(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . The last inequality implies  $h'(y)(g_n \circ \pi) > \alpha(y) > 0$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Hence,  $h'(y) \notin B_n$  for all  $y \in Y$ . So,  $h' \in \mathcal{G}_n$  which completes the proof of Claim 1.

To show that any  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is dense in  $Ch_f(Y, X)$ , we fix  $m \geq 1$ ,  $h \in Ch_f(Y, X)$  and a function  $\eta : Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ . We are going to find a map  $h' \in \mathcal{G}_m$  with  $\hat{d}(h'(y), h(y)) \leq \eta(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . To this end, following the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, take a complete 0-dimensional space  $Z$  and a perfect Milyutin map  $g : Z \rightarrow Y$  with

a right inverse  $g^*: Y \rightarrow P_\beta(Z)$ . We also consider the lower semi-continuous convex and closed-valued map  $\Phi: Z \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$ ,  $\Phi(z) = \overline{\hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z))) \cap B(h(g(z)), \eta(g(z)))}$ . According to Lemma 4.1,  $B_m \cap \hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z)))$  is a closed nowhere dense subsets of  $\hat{P}(f^{-1}(g(z)))$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Hence, all  $B_m \cap \Phi(z)$  are closed and nowhere dense in  $\Phi(z)$ . Then, by [9, Theorem 1.2],  $\Phi$  has a continuous selection  $\theta: Z \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  such that  $\theta(z) \in \Phi(z) \setminus B_m$ ,  $z \in Z$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, let  $h': Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  be the composition  $\bar{\theta} \circ g^*$ , where  $\bar{\theta}: P_\beta(Z) \rightarrow \hat{P}(X)$  is an extension of  $\theta$  defined by  $\bar{\theta}(\nu)(\phi) = \nu(u(\phi))$ ,  $\phi \in C^*(X)$ . Following the arguments from Theorem 1.3, we can show that  $\hat{d}(h'(y), h(y)) \leq \eta(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Next claim completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

*Claim 2.*  $h'(y) \notin B_m$  for any  $y \in Y$ .

The proof of this claim is reduced to find a function  $\phi_y \in C^*(X)$  such that  $\phi_y(X \setminus \pi^{-1}(V_m)) = 0$  and  $h(y)(\phi_y) \neq 0$ . Indeed, in such a case  $\text{supp } h(y) \cap \pi^{-1}(V_m) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\theta(z) \notin B_m$  for all  $z \in g^{-1}(y)$ ,  $\text{supp } \theta(z) \cap \pi^{-1}(V_m) \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently, for any  $z \in g^{-1}(y)$  there exists a function  $\phi_z \in C^*(X)$  with  $\phi_z(X \setminus \pi^{-1}(V_m)) = 0$  and  $\theta(z)(\phi_z) \neq 0$ . Considering the positive or negative parts of  $\phi_z$ , we may assume each  $\phi_z \geq 0$ . Next, use the continuity of  $\theta$  and the compactness of  $g^{-1}(y)$  to find finitely many points  $z(i) \in g^{-1}(y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and neighborhoods  $U_{z(i)}$  such that  $\theta(z)(\phi_{z(i)}) > 0$  provided  $z \in U_{z(i)}$ . Finally, let  $\phi_y = \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_{z(i)}$ . Then  $\phi_y(X \setminus \pi^{-1}(V_m)) = 0$  and  $u(\phi_y)(z) = \theta(z)(\phi_y) > 0$  for any  $z \in g^{-1}(y)$ . So,  $h(y)(\phi_y) \geq \min\{u(\phi_y)(z) : z \in g^{-1}(y)\} > 0$  because  $g^{-1}(y)$  is compact. This completes the proof of the claim.

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** Since  $f$  is closed with separable fibers, there exists a map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Q$  such that all restrictions  $\pi|_{f^{-1}(y)}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , are embeddings, see [13]. Here,  $Q$  is the Hilbert cube. Then, by Theorem 1.4 (with  $M$  replaced by  $Q$ ),  $f$  is densely exact. If, in addition, the fibers of  $f$  are perfect, both Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 imply that  $f$  is densely exact atomless.

**Proof of Corollary 1.6.** Consider the graph  $G(\Phi) = \cup\{\{y\} \times \Phi(y) : y \in Y\} \subset Y \times X$  of  $\Phi$  and the projection  $f: G(\Phi) \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $\Phi$  is continuous,  $G(\Phi)$  is closed in  $Y \times X$  and  $f$  is both open and closed. Then  $G(\Phi)$  is a complete space. Now, by Corollary 1.5, there exists a map  $h': Y \rightarrow \hat{P}(G(\Phi))$  with each  $h'(y) \in \hat{P}(f^{-1}(y))$  being exact measure. Therefore,  $\text{supp } h'(y) = f^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $h = \hat{P}(\pi) \circ h'$ , where  $\pi: G(\Phi) \rightarrow X$  is the projection into  $X$ . Since  $\pi$  embeds each  $f^{-1}(y)$  onto  $\Phi(y)$ ,  $h$  is a map from  $Y$  into  $\hat{P}(X)$  such that  $\text{supp } h(y) = \Phi(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . If  $\Phi(y)$  are perfect sets, so are the fibers  $f^{-1}(y)$ , and

$h'$  can be chosen to be atomless and exact. In such a case  $h$  is also atomless.

**Note added in proof.** Recently T. Banach informed the author that V. Bogachev and A. Kolesnikov [5] proved the following result: The map  $\hat{P}(f)$  from Theorem 1.1 is open. This, in combination with Michael's convex-valued selection theorem [10], provides another proof of Theorem 1.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ageev and E. Tymchatyn, *On exact atomless Milutin maps*, Topology Appl. **153**, 2-3 (2005), 227-238.
- [2] T. Banach, *Topology of probability measure spaces I*, Mat. Studii **5** (1995), 65-87 (in Russian).
- [3] T. Banach, *Topology of probability measure spaces II*, Mat. Studii **5** (1995), 88-106 (in Russian).
- [4] T. Banach and T. Radul, *Geometry of mappings of probability measure spaces*, Mat. Studii **11** (1999), 17-30 (in Russian).
- [5] V. Bogachev and A. Kolesnikov, *Open mappings of probability measures and the Skorokhod representation theorem*, Theory Probab. Appl. **46**, 1 (2000), 20-38.
- [6] M. Choban, *Topological structure of subsets of topological groups and their quotient spaces*, Matem. Izsl. **44** (1977), 117-169 (in Russian).
- [7] V. Fedorchuk and V. Filippov, *General Topology. Principal constructions*, Moscow State Univ. Press, Moscow, 1988 (in Russian).
- [8] V. Fedorchuk, *Probability measures in topology*, Russian Math. Surveys **46**, 1 (1991), 45-93.
- [9] V. Gutev and V. Valov, *Dense families of selections and finite-dimensional spaces*, Set-Valued Anal. **11** (2003), 373-391.
- [10] E. Michael, *Continuous selections I*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 361-382.
- [11] E. Michael, *Selected selection theorems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **63** (1956), 233-238.
- [12] K. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metrizable spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
- [13] B. Pasyukov, *On geometry of continuous maps of countable functional weight*, Fundam. Prikl. Matematika **4**, 1 (1998), 155-164 (in Russian).
- [14] D. Repovš, P. Semenov and E. Ščepin, *On exact Milyutin mappings*, Topology Appl. **81**, (1997), 197-205.
- [15] V. Varadarajan, *Measures on topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. **55**, 1 (1961), 35-100 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

*E-mail address:* veskov@nipissingu.ca